

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,696	03/29/2004	Donald Carroll Roe	9533	1358
27752	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			3761	=
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I A 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summer		10/811,696	ROE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	71 4444 1910 04 77	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Marths</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/1/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Dobrin does not teach a backsheet having a physical variation measured by a physical property selected from the group consisting at least one of basis weight, thickness, and density. However, as previously discussed, Dobrin discloses microapertured and unapertured regions of the backsheet. The difference in the apertured and unapertured areas would result in at least a difference in density due to the presence of the apertures and presumably a difference in weight as well. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobrin et al. USPN 5571096.

As to claims 1-6, 8, 19, and 20, Dobrin discloses a disposable absorbent article comprising: an absorbent core 28, a liquid permeable topsheet 24, and a liquid impermeable backsheet 26 as claimed. The backsheet of Dobrin has a physical

Art Unit: 3761

variation along at least one axis, wherein a physical variation defines a first backsheet zone and a second backsheet zone (Figures 2 and 3). The physical variation is measured by the physical properties of thickness, weight, and density as Dobrin discloses microapertured regions and unaperatured regions of the backsheet. The apertured regions have a lower weight, thickness, and density as compared to unapertured regions. Figure 2 shows a physical variation in the lateral axis between zones 76 and 74. However, there is some physical variation in the longitudinal axis as well, which is demonstrated in Figure 3 between the apertured and unapertured regions of zone 76. Dobrin discloses at least one elastomeric element 65 attached to the backsheet as claimed (see col. 12, lines 21-31 where Dobrin incorporates by reference Lawson USPN 4695278 who teaches elastic members attached in an elastically contractible condition col. 7, line 28 through col. 8, line 13). Dobrin shows elastic components in the waist region, which are not present in the crotch regions. Therefore, a given property, such as density and weight differs from an average of the given property of at least one of the waist regions.

As to claim 7, Dobrin incorporates by reference Lawson who teaches curvilinear elastic elements (Lawson col. 8, lines 44-51).

As to claims 11-17, Dobrin teaches a non-uniform backsheet where an outer cover 90 is joined to a central unapertured region, an outer apertured region or both (col. 9, line 46 through col. 10, line 33).

As to claim 18, Dobrin incorporates by reference Lawson who teaches curvilinear elastic elements (Lawson col. 8, lines 44-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 9, 10, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobrin USPN 5571096. As to claim 9, Dobrin teaches a backsheet having zones which vary by a physical p and 21, see the rejection of claim 1 supra. Dobrin teaches an elastomeric element attached to the backsheet in an elastically contractible condition, which allows the elements to contract or gather the backsheet in a relaxed state,

indicating the pathlength of the element is less than a total pathlength of the backsheet where the element is attached. Therefore, the general conditions of this claim are disclosed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the outer cover of Dobrin with the claimed ratios of the present invention, since where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation, In re Aller et al. 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens

Krimary Examiner
Art Unit 3761

September 5, 2006